CHINERTAL PROTECTION	
our Van	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D		Y (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0250647 DAT	ГЕ: <u>11/16/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:45 A</u>	<u>M</u>	DEPART: <u>10:15 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: BUI	ENA VISTA II READY MIX	CCB PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION	1801 NW MIAMI CT				
	MIAMI 33136-1738	}			
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: tlancaster@tit: CONTACT NAME: OS Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIC	SCAR BUCKNOR	2013	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(954)425-4227 (561)504-6787 (954)275-6754	
Facility Section					
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u>	COMPLIANCE STATUS (CE MINOR Non-COM			C Non-COMPLIANCE	
DADT IL ONGITE INTE					
	RODUCTORY MEETING presentative(s): <u>Abigail Diaz</u>			(check 🗹 box for each	only one 1 question)
	resentative still TERRY LANC	CASTER?		Xes	No
	ility provide an administrative till OSCAR BUCKNOR?				□No □No
	ting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least				□No □No

<u>1-CONCRETE BATCH PLANT W/CAPACITY OF 225 CU.YDS/HR subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No	
control emissions?		□ No	
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	_	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	

2 -CCB Plant-silo#1(cementTypeI/II)old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Ves	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check \mathbf{V} box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control uncon- emissions by:	fined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of th 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Xes	□ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 	_		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		□ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? -	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		D No No	

3 - CCB Plant-silo#2(cementTypeI/II)old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfir emissions by:	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	- Yes	□ No	
 control emissions?		□ No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		∐ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No	

<u>4 – CCB Plant-silo#3 (flyash) old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check ☑ only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check ☑ only one box for each question)				
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- Xes	□ No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Xes	No No		

	b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No
2.	If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
	a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	No No
	b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes	🗌 No
	c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?		

5 – CCB Plant-silo#4 (slag Pen/Cem) old plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: <u>FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION</u>	(check ☑ box for each o	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N. c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
DADT II. FIFT D ODGEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 $414(2)$ F A C]	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unc emissions by:	confined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more o 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary 	Xes	🗌 No	
 application of water of environmentary safe dust-suppressant encinears when necessary control emissions? 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne 		🗌 No	
a) particulate matter?		🗌 No	
particulate matter from stock piles?		🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	:? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	QYes Yes	□ No □ No	

6 – CCB Plant-scale/weighhop&trkldout old plantw/centdustcollect subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? C. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗍 Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No	
DADTH, FITT D ODSEDWATIONS D-1, (2.20, 414(2), E.A.C.		I	
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unco emissions by: 	nfined		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Xes	🗌 No	
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	□ No	
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	of	∐ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No	

7 – CCB Plant-material scale old plant w/individual baghouse dc subject to Reasonable Precautions			
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?		□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹	only one	
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	box for each o	question)	
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by: 	ned		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No	
 control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	_	□ No	
particulate matter from stock piles? b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?		∐ No □ No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No	

8 - CCB Plant-silo#5(cementType I/II)new plantw/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions			
	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)	
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes	□ No	
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? 	- 🛛 Yes	□ No □ No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No	

9 - CCB Plant-silo#6(cementTypeI/II)new plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions						
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION 1. Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u>	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? 2. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 2. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 3. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? 4. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes No					
$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} D$						
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check 🗹 only one					
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and box for each question) Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards 1. 1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:						
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more o 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary control emissions? 	to Yes No					
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainmen particulate matter from stock piles?	nt of					
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck	x? ⊠ Yes 🗌 No					
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes No Yes No					

<u>10 – CCB Plant-silo#7(slag Pen/Cem)new plant w/silotop baghouse subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)			
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by:	ied				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Yes	🗌 No			
control emissions?		□ No			
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	—	∐ No □ No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes	🗌 No			
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	- 🗌 Yes - 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No			

<u>11–CCB Plant-scale/weighhop&trkldout new plantw/centdustcollect subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>					
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)			
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No			
DADT II. EIEI D. ORSEDVATIONS Dulo 62 206 414(2) F.A.C.					
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, an</u>	(check ☑ box for each nd <u>Yards</u>	only one question)			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to emissions by:	control unconfined				
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 	Yes	🗌 No			
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals wher control emissions?	Yes	🗌 No			
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airb particulate matter?	oorne Xes	🗌 No			
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind e particulate matter from stock piles?	entrainment of Yes	🗌 No			
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point t	to the truck? 🛛 Yes	🗌 No			
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No			

12 – CCB Plant-material scale new plant w/individual baghouse dc subject to Reasonable	Precautions		
	(check 🗹 box for each c	only one question)	
 Date of last inspection: <u>11/19/2009</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	□ No □ No □ No	
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		l	
	(check 🗹 only one box for each question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ed.		
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the feed 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 		🗌 No	
control emissions?	Yes	🗌 No	
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	- Xes	🗌 No	
particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No	
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes Yes	🗌 No	
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	D No No	

Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY				
 Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? 		Yes Yes Yes	\boxtimes	No No No
 Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? If YES, what non-exempt units or activities? 	_	Yes		No
 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 		Yes		No
 3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? 		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No No No No
gal diesel/yrgal gasoline/yrMM SCF nat. gas/yr+MM gal propar275,000 gal diesel/yr23,000 gal gasoline/yr44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr1.3 MM gal propare	/yr		?	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consump for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?				No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?		Yes	🛛 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.	Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access			
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\boxtimes	Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the following stationary)	(check ☑ box for each ng question 2.	question)			
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No			
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.9000] 		🗌 No			
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation?	6)]	☐ No ☐ No			
 If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usag If YES, what was the purpose? 		🗌 No			
 b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	☐ No ☐ No			
CHANGES (check ☑ only one box for each question)					
 <u>Administrative Changes</u>: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized representative not associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions units or 					

	operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility?	∐ Yes	🖂 No
2.	If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change?	Yes	🗌 No
Ne	ew or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:		
3.	Since the last registration form submittal has there been		
	a. Installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🖂 No
	b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different?	Yes	🛛 No
	d. A change in ownership?	Yes	🖂 No
4.	If the answer to any question 3a d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee subm	itted	
	30 days prior to the change?	Yes	🗌 No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

11/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

11/16/2010

COMMENTS: I WITNESSED TWO (2) VE TESTS ON THE OLD PLANT AND ONE (1) VE TEST ON THE NEW PLANT. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS WHILE I WAS ON SITE. THERE ARE ELEVEN (11) EMISSIONS POINTS IN THIS FACILITY. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE EMISSIONS AROUND THE FACILITY.